LETTER

Photo-induced charge density distribution in metal surfaces and its extraction with apertureless near-field optics

To cite this article: S T Chui et al 2019 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 31 24LT01

View the article online for updates and enhancements.
Letter

Photo-induced charge density distribution in metal surfaces and its extraction with apertureless near-field optics

S T Chui\(^1\), Xinzhong Chen\(^2\), Hai Hu\(^3\), Debo Hu\(^3\), Qing Dai\(^3\)\(^\circledR\) and Mengkun Liu\(^2\)

\(^1\) Bartol Research Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, United States of America
\(^2\) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, United States of America
\(^3\) Division of Nanophotonics, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, People’s Republic of China

E-mail: chui@udel.edu

Received 21 December 2018, revised 10 March 2019
Accepted for publication 14 March 2019
Published 2 April 2019

Abstract

Electromagnetic (EM) waves impinging on finite metallic structures can induce non-uniform electrical currents and create oscillating charge densities. These local charges govern the important physical processes such as plasmonic behavior or enhanced Raman scattering. Yet the quantitative calculation and probing of the spatial distribution of the charge density still remain challenging at the subwavelength scale. This is especially the case if one considers the boundary effect, where the charge density can become divergent and conventional finite element methods fail to obtain accurate information. With an approach we recently developed, we calculate this charge density for subwavelength structures with and without sharp corners: gold disks and equilateral triangles. We also devise an independent way to extract the surface charge density distributions from experiments using scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscope (s-SNOM). We found that the charge density \( \sigma \) is related to the near field signal \( S_n \) by \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \propto (S_n - \langle S_n \rangle)/\langle S_n \rangle \). With no adjustable parameters, the extracted surface charge distribution from the experiments matches well with that from the theoretical prediction, both in magnitude and phase. Our work provides a quantitative study of the surface charge distributions and a systematic and rigorous treatment to extract surface charge distributions at the nanoscale, opening opportunities for mining the near-field data from s-SNOM.
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An external electromagnetic (EM) wave excites charge currents which are particularly significant for metallic surfaces. The currents are generally spatially nonuniform and therefore lead to charge density oscillation at the same frequency as the incident field. This local charge density distribution determines the behavior of a wide variety of surface phenomena such as interface polariton transport, plasmonic field enhancement, and nanoscale surface-enhanced Raman scattering [1]. However, it is difficult to obtain accurate information of these photo-induced charges with conventional finite element methods, especially for subwavelength objects with sharp boundaries.
In this paper, we address this problem both theoretically and experimentally and illustrate our ideas with the examples of subwavelength metal disks and metal triangles under external light illumination. In particular, using an approach we recently developed [2, 3], we obtain theoretically the charge densities induced by infrared light on subwavelength-sized metallic disks and equilateral triangles. For the disks, we find that the induced charge density is a sum of a bulk term and an edge term, with the latter localized near the circular perimeter of the disk. This differs from the results obtained in the case of electrostatics where the net current is zero inside the metallic element and all the charges are localized at the boundaries. For the triangles, we calculate the amplitude and phase of the induced charges and find charges with different signs at different corners. Experimentally, we devise a way to extract the charge distribution from near-field optical signals mapped with s-SNOM [4, 5]. With no adjustable parameters, the extracted surface charge distribution from the experiments matches well with that from the theoretical prediction.

Our results for the metallic structures with and without corners provide support that the local charge densities can be extracted for general metamaterial structures using s-SNOM data. We first discuss the calculation for the case of a metallic disk with radius R in an external EM field. This is based on our recently developed rigorous first-principles circuit element method [2]. In our formulation, the physical quantities are expressed not in a mesh but in terms of a complete orthogonal set of basis functions. The current density \( j \) is related to the external electric field \( E_{\text{ext}} \) by a circuit equation in terms of an impedance matrix \( Z \) which is just a representation of the Green’s function in our basis:\(^4\)

\[
j = Z^{-1}(E_{\text{ext}} + E').
\]

\( E' \) is the field at the tip determined from the condition that the current induced by the external field outside of the tip is zero. Our approach provides a good physical understanding of the problem and is orders of magnitude faster than current numerical approaches. From charge current conservation, the charge density \( \sigma \) for a film of thickness \( t \) is related to the current density as \( \sigma = \nabla \cdot j/\iota \omega \). We find that the surface charge density \( \sigma \) is a sum of a bulk term and an edge term localized near the circular perimeter of the disk. The bulk surface charge density is approximately proportional to \( \sigma_{\text{bulk}}(r) \cos \phi \); the edge density, \( \delta(r - R) \cos \phi \). In electrostatics, the bulk density inside the disk is zero.

A two dimensional contour plot of the real part of the theoretical bulk charge density distribution of a form \( \cos \phi \) is shown in figure 1(b). This is comparable to the results extracted from experimental s-SNOM data in figure 1(a) for a disk of radius 0.53 \( \mu \)m and thickness 40 \( \mu \)m under incident light of wavelength 6 \( \mu \)m. We explain this next.

In s-SNOM [4, 5, 6], an electromagnetic (EM) wave is scattered from both the sample and the vibrating atomic force microscope (AFM) tip on top, as is illustrated in figure 2. The near-field tip-sample interactions with 10 nm spatial resolution is contained in the scattered field \( S_s \) demodulated at the higher harmonics (\( n = 2, 3, 4 \)) of the tapping frequency \( \Omega \) of the tip. s-SNOM has been employed to investigate a wide variety of phenomena such as mesoscale metal-insulator phase coexistence [7–13] and surface polariton propagation [14–19], and metallic nanostructure-light interactions [20–24].

We recently investigated [25] the scattering of EM waves from the conical tip using an approach we developed [2, 3, 26]. When compared with s-SNOM experimental results for the insulator SiO\(_2\), our previous calculation provides very good agreement. We found that an external field scatters from the tip and generates currents along it. For example, the experiment described here was carried out at a wavelength of \( \lambda = 6 \) \( \mu \)m, cone height, base and tip radii of 20 \( \mu \)m, 5 \( \mu \)m, and 10 nm, the tip vibration amplitude and minimum height are 60 nm and 0.6 nm, the EM wave of amplitude \( E_{\text{ext}} \) is coming in at an angle \( \theta \) (see figure 1) of 60 degrees with respect to the surface normal, we find that \( E'/E_{\text{ext}} = -1.46 + 2.91i \). The finite phase of \( E' \) comes from the radiation resistance of the conical tip. Our calculation directly relates the tip surface field to the incoming external field over the whole cone.

Associated with the tip field of the cone is a tip surface charge density \( \sigma_t \) which radiates at the frequencies modulated by the tapping frequency \( \Omega \) and eventually leads to the experimental signal. In the experiment, there can be other charges close to the tip. For example, the external EM field induce additional surface charge distribution \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \) on the sample surface which is significant for metallic surfaces or when a resonance is excited (such as graphene plasmon) and cannot be simply omitted. The tip charge density \( \sigma_t \) is determined from the condition that the field it generates at the tip, together with that from other charges close to the tip, is equal to the surface field \( E' \).

In the absence of \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \) the sum of the perpendicular components of the displacement fields due to the tip and its image from the sample are continuous so that its change across the interface is zero: \( \Delta(D_{\perp,\text{img}} + D_{\perp,\text{tip}}) = 0 \). Implicit in this is a surface bound charge \( -\nabla \cdot P \) from the polarization \( P \) induced by the tip charge on the sample surface a distance \( d \) away. For finite metallic or resonant elements [2] the external EM field also induces additional spatially varying charges of density \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \) on the sample surface. When there are additional charges at the sample surface the boundary condition on the total displacement field \( D \) at the surface of the sample is changed to

\[
\Delta D_{\perp} = \sigma_{\text{element}}.
\]

When \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \) is non zero, the total electric field is given by

\[
E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{tip}} + E_{\text{img}} + E_{\text{element}}
\]

where \( E_{\text{element}} \) is that due to \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \). The total field is the sum of the term due to the tip and its image charges and an additional term due to the surface charge on the element. Since \( \Delta D_{\perp,\text{tip}} = \sigma_{\text{element}} \), the boundary condition (2) for the total displacement field corresponding to \( E_{\text{tot}} \) is obeyed. The fields from the tip-induced charge density \( -\nabla \cdot P \) and that from the external field induced \( \sigma_{\text{element}} \) simply add up because

\(^4\)In practice we have done a two dimensional integral and have calculated a impedance \( Z' = Z I \).

\(^5\)The numerical values of these functions recently became available in Mathematica.
Maxwell’s equations are linear. The field at the tip is now a sum of a field $E_{a0}$ conical surface charge of density $\sigma_s$ at the tip, a field $E_{ib}$ from the image charge density and now an additional field $E_{sc}$ from charge density $\sigma_{element}$:

$$E_s = E_{a0} + E_{ib} + E_{sc}. \tag{4}$$

From Gauss’s law $E_s = \sigma_s/2\epsilon_0$, $E_{ib} \approx -\beta\sigma_s I(2d)/\epsilon_0$, $\beta\sigma_s$ is the image charge, $I$ is the Coulomb electric field of the image charge. Similarly $E_{sc} = \sigma_{element} K(d)/\epsilon_0 \beta s$. $K$ is the Coulomb electric field of the element.

In our picture, the boundary electric field $E_s$ is such as to stop the current from going out. Its magnitude is determined by the incoming electromagnetic field averaged over the whole cone. The tip charge density is determined by the requirement that the electric field from it and all other charges close by is equal to the tip field. From equation (4), we get the charge density at the tip given by

$$\sigma_s = [2\epsilon_0 E_s - 2\sigma_{element} K(d)]/[1 - 2\beta I(2d)]. \tag{5}$$

As the surface charge density of the element is changed, the tip charge density also changes so that the value of $E_s$ is maintained. The tip is vibrating at a frequency $\Omega$ so that $d \propto (1 - \cos \Omega t)$. The s-SNOM signal is proportional to the Fourier transform in time of this tip charge density and its image $\beta\sigma_s$ at the $n$th higher harmonics of the tapping frequency: $S_n \propto (1 + \beta) \int \text{d}t e^{i(n + 1)\beta t}\sigma_t$. From equation (5) we get

$$S_n = A|B_{0n} - \sigma_{element} B_{1n}/(2\epsilon_0 E_s)|^2. \tag{6}$$

$A$ is a normalization constant, $B_{1n} = (1 + \beta) \int \text{d}t e^{i(n + 1)\beta t} K(d)/[1 - 2\beta I(2d)]$, $B_{0n} = (1 + \beta) \int \text{d}t e^{i(n + 1)\beta t}/[1 - 2\beta I(2d)]$. The net charge on the disk is zero. Denoting the average over the disk by angular brackets, $\langle \sigma_{element} \rangle = 0$. Hence $\langle S_n \rangle = AB_{0n}$. From equation (6) we finally obtain

$$\sigma_{element}/\sigma_{ext} = (S_n - \langle S_n \rangle)/\langle S_n \rangle/r_n \tag{7}$$

where $r_n = B_{1n}/B_{0n}/(E_{z/1}/E_{z/0})$ is a complex constant only determined by the microscope tip and independent of the samples. $\sigma_{ext} = \epsilon_0 |E_{z/0} \times \mathbf{e}_s|$, $\mathbf{e}_s$ is a unit vector normal to the disk. All the spatial dependence of the charge density is contained in $S_n/\langle S_n \rangle - 1$. The phase difference between the experimentally extracted signal and the charge density is independent of the sample.

At the wavelengths of interest (1–10 $\mu$m) the dielectric constant $\epsilon$ is large and negative, $\beta \approx 1$ and does not change very much. $B_{1n}/B_{0n}$ is relatively constant with a very weak dependence on the frequency. The skin depth $l = (2\pi/\omega_0\mu_0)^{1/2} = 11$ nm is less than the thickness of the disk. We have computed the $B$s numerically. For $\beta = 1 + 0.011 \ B_{12}/B_{02} = 0.5464$, $B_{13}/B_{03} = 0.4523$. We thus get for $n = 3$, the proportional factor in equation (7) given by

$$r_3 = -0.075 - 0.15i \quad r_2 = -0.062 - 0.12i. \tag{8}$$

To examine the quantitative values of the charge density in more detail, we show the real part of the charge density extracted from the experimental results along a diagonal in figure 3(a). Also shown is our theoretical result for the real part of the bulk charge density distribution which, in units of...
σ_{ext}, is close to $(S_3 - \langle S_3 \rangle)/\langle S_3 \rangle - 1/0.12$. This is close to our theoretical result in equation (7) $(r_3$ is evaluated in equation (8)) of $\text{Re}(S_3/\langle S_3 \rangle - 1)/0.124$. Our result suggests that a small variation of the charge density is seen both in theory and experiment and may not be a noise. We also find that the edge charge distribution is $4.44 \delta (r - R)$. Experimentally $S_3/\langle S_3 \rangle - 1$ exhibit a peak of an approximate area 0.08 at the boundary. Equation (8) suggests that the experimental estimate for the edge term should be $0.166 (r - R)$. At the edge, the finite size of the tip creates more error in the interpretation of the experimental data. Our result emphasizes the existence of an edge term, which has not been discussed before.

The comparison between theory and experiment involves a complex numerical constant $r_n$ described in equation (7) which is a function of the AFM tip. The imaginary parts of $S_3/\langle S_3 \rangle - 1$, and $0.12 \text{Im}[r_3]/\text{Re}[r_3]$, $\sigma_{bulk}/\sigma_{ext}$ along the diagonal direction are shown in figure 3(b). In the region where there is not much fluctuation in the experimental results, the agreement between theory and experiment is good. The phase difference between theory and experiment come from the phase of $r_n$. In comparing with the experimental measurement, the same multiplication factor for $\sigma_{bulk}$ is used for both the real and the imaginary parts. There is no adjustable parameter for the phase. We next discuss the example of an equilateral triangle.

With a conformal harmonic mapping (Christofel–Schwarz transformation) between a point $w = x + iy$ in a circle and a point $z = u + iv$ in a triangle so that $dz/dw \propto (1 - w^3)^{-2/3}$,
we have recently extended the basis functions and our treatment for the disk to that of triangles [3]. The new basis functions inherited the integrable singularities at the corners from the mapping. With this approach, the charge density induced by an EM field is calculated. In figure 4 we compare the real part of the experimental result and and the theoretical charge densities for a gold equilateral triangle with sides of 2.6 μm in external electric field with an in plane component along the x axis. Our theoretical calculation for the real part of the bulk charge density distribution in units of $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$ is approximately $(S_2/(S_2 - 1))/0.1$. This is close to our result in equation (7) of $\text{Re}(S_2/(S_2 - 1))/0.15$. For this experimental result the second harmonics with $n = 2$ and not $n = 3$ is used. Experimentally, it is difficult to align perfectly the external field with the symmetry axis of the sample. Aside from this asymmetry, the agreement supports our result in equation (2) that the charge density can be extracted from the s-SNOM data for different $n$.

We close by discussing the separation of the bulk and the edge contributions for the disk from our numerical solution. The bulk charge contribution is given by $\sigma_{\text{bulk}} = \sigma - \sigma_{\text{edge}}$ where the edge distribution $\sigma_{\text{edge}}$ is a $\delta$ function. These functions are all expanded in a Fourier–Bessel series. Consider the general series expansion of a function $f(r)$ in terms of Bessel functions. For $f(r) = \sum s_i g_i(r)$ with $g_i = J_{\alpha_i}(kr)/c_{\alpha_i}$, the coefficients $s_i$ are given by $s_i = \int r dr s(r) g_i(r)$. We found that higher order terms in the series for $\sigma$ quickly approaches that for a $\delta$ function. If $g(r) = D\delta(r-R)$, $s_i = DRg_i(R)$. For our case, only the $m = 1$ terms are nonzero, $s_i = DRJ_1(k_i R)/c_{\alpha_i}$. For large $i$ $s_i = D2^{1/2}/\Gamma(1-1)$ in our calculation, the total charge density is a sum of charge densities $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$ and $\sigma'$ induced by the electric fields $E_{\text{ext}}$ and $E'$ in equation (1). In figure 5 we plotted the real and imaginary parts of $(-1)^{-i-1}\sigma_{\text{ext}}$ and $(-1)^{-i-1}\sigma'$ in units of $\sigma_{\text{ext}}$.

In summary, we study the charge density distributions in finite metallic structures induced by an EM wave and found good agreement between theory and experiment. We theoretically prove the normalized near-field scattering signal of s-SNOM $(S_5 - (S_3))/S_1$ is proportional to the charge density of the metallic structure. In the end, we note that our previous work [25] is ideal for continuous films with intrinsically non-uniform dielectric properties. This work expands the rigorous calculation to subwavelength metal structures with otherwise intrinsically uniform dielectric properties. We have assumed that the intensity of the laser is small enough that there is no significant temperature rise in the sample and thus have not taken this effect into account theoretically. In the future, we will further discuss the data mining of s-SNOM in cases where the samples are highly anisotropic and have strong intrinsic EM resonances.
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